

Alternativ Endo techniques for the Arch

Björn Sonesson

VASCULAR CENTER MALMÖ



Avalaible endo techniques for the arch

- Chimneys, periscopes, sandwich techniqes
- Fenstrated and branched stent-grafts
- Hybrid procedures





Is the in situ fenestration technique needed?

- Arch?
- Left subclavian artery?



In situ fenestration for total arch

Completion angiography



6 year follow-up





In situ fenestration for total arch?

- Brain perfusion during fenestration procedure
- Temporary by-pass from infrarenal aorta (femorals) to the carotids with or without pump
- Introducer shunts
- Elective- branch graft
- Emergent/ semi emergent-in situ fenestration.



Is the in situ fenestration technique needed?

- Arch?
- Left subclavian artery?



Surgical revascularization of left subclavian artery

Subclavian revascularization in the age of thoracic endovascular aortic repair and comparison of outcomes in patients with occlusive disease

Salvatore T. Scali, MD, Catherine K. Chang, MD, Stephen G. Pape, BS, Robert J. Feezor, MD, Scott A. Berceli, MD, PhD, Thomas S. Huber, MD, PhD, and Adam W. Beck

Objective: Open surgical revascularization for subclavian artery occlusive disease (OD) endovascular treatment despite the excellent long-term patency of bypass. The indicatio (C-SBP) and subclavian transposition (ST) have been recently expanded with the wid endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR), primarily to augment proximal landing zones or t study was performed to determine the outcomes of patients undergoing C-SBP/ST i endovascular therapies and evolving indications.

Methods: A prospective database including all procedures performed at a single instit retrospectively queried for patients who underwent subclavian revascularization for TEV demographics and perioperative outcomes were recorded. Patency was determined by corr in the TEVAR group. Noninvasive studies were used for the OD patients. Life-table patency, reintervention, and survival.

Results: Of 139 procedures identified, 101 were performed for TEVAR and 38 for OD. C-SBP/ST to augment landing zones (49% preoperative; 41% intraoperative), treat arm for internal mammary artery salvage (2%). OD patients had a variety of indications, inc 49%; asymptomatic >80% internal carotid stenosis with concurrent subclavian occlusion cular OD, 13%; redo bypass, 8%; and coronary-subclavian steal, 5%. Differences in 1 primary patency, or freedom from reintervention were not significant. The 30-day p combined stroke/death rates were, respectively, 10.8%, 5.8%, and 13.7% for the entire o TEVAR patients; and 15.8%, 2.6%, and 15.8% in OD patients. The 1- and 3-year prim 94% and 94% for TEVAR and 93% and 73% for OD patients. Survival was similar betwee survival rate of 88% at 1 year and 76% at 5 years.

Conclusions: Stroke risk in this contemporary series of C-SBP/ST performed for TEV/ higher than previously reported in historical series. In TEVAR patients, this may be attr of the TEVAR in patients requiring subclavian revascularization. In OD patients, this is li population that requires more frequent concomitant carotid interventions. Despite the bypass durability and equivalent long-term patient survival can be anticipated. (J Vasc §

Carotid-subclavian bypass and subclavian-carotid transposition in the thoracic endovascular aortic repair era

Arin L. Madenci, MPH,^a C. Keith Ozaki, MD,^b Michael Belkin, MD,^b and James T. McPhee, MD,^c Ann Arbor, Mich; and Boston, Mass

Objective: Beyond traditional indications red to allow for aortic arch revascularization is increasing debranching in the setting of the set endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR). Endovascular ment options for subclavian disease have en sed, perhaps altering the patient population undergoing open revascularization. We leveraged prospectively concered American College of Surgeons (ACS)-National Surgical Quality Improvement ogram (NSQIP) data trendineate evolving stroke and mortality rates after caretid-subclavian bypass (CSB) and subclav carotid transportion (SCT) in this dynamic control of the second se admitted for emergency cases were excluded. Factors associated with 30-day postoperative cerebrovascular accident (CVA) or leath (CVA/D) were defined using univariable and multivariable analyses. *Results:* (SB comprised 41% of revascularitational scrined on TEVAR and 3% of replated revascularizations. A greater roportion of TEVARs were performed to the CE rou. (576. s. 1%; < 4 CLe groups were similar in demographic characteristics and prevalence of comorbidities. Overall stroke, mortality, and combined CVA/D rates were 3.5% (n = 1, 1), 3.3% (n = 29), and 5.8% (n = 51), respectively. Surgical approach did not affect outcome. The CVA/D rate was 10.2% (n = 9) for revascularization in conjunction with TETAX and 3.3% (n = 42) for isolated reconstruction (P = .06). For principle undergoing isolated revascularization increasing increasing the dots ratio, 1.06; 95% confidence of the second sec aval, interval, 1.03-1.10, 2 < .01), and nonindependent functional status (odds ratio, 3.49; 95% confidence 1.41-8.68; P < .01) were point point associated with CVA/D. Conclusions: In this contempore, there was no significant difference in CVA/D by surgication aroach. TEVAR trended toward an association with Construction with isolated subclavian recognition and CVA/D continues to rependent patient subsets. (J Vasc Surg complicate contemporary CSB and SCT, especially among 2013;57:1275-82.)

UNIVERSITY

Fenestration technique

- Needle
- RF (radio-frequency)
- Laser



Excimer (blue "cold") laser



In situ fenestration LSA





In situ fenestration LSA









Fluency (femoral approach)



Laser assisted *in situ* fenestration for LSA in Malmö

- n=9 since 2014
- n=8 technichal success
- no 30 day death/stroke (1 TIA carotid territority-chimney)
- All fenestrations patent and all patients alive Nov 2015



Factors for succesful percutaneous laser fenestration of left subclavian artery

- □ Angel /subclavian artery /aorta
- □ Shape/configuration guiding catheter/sheat











Result Bench Test





Factors for succesful percutaneous laser fenestration of left subclavian artery

Angel /subclavian artery /aorta Shape/configuration guiding catheter/sheat







Steerable sheat IM guide catheter

Risks of embolization with laser fenestration?

- Direkt effect on the endothelium-thrombosis
- Embolization
- Graft material
- Clot



Animal model



LUND UNIVERSITY

Evaluate potential embolization by placing a carotid protection filter down streams





Laser

Carotid protection Filter



Excimer Laser



Carotid protection Filter



Filter retrieval

Result

Number (n)	Weight (kg)	Operative time	Fabric type of	Emb	oli/clot
		(min)	Dacron		
1	90	150	Low profile	Reversed ZSIL -0- no 93	
2	90	170	Standard	CMD ZSLE 1 -16- no 80	
3	78	139	Low profile	Reversed Z IL 20- no 93	
4	90	140	Low profile	Reversed 2 JIL 20- no 93	
5	90	170	Standard - Low profile	Reversed 2 IL 20- no 93 + TFLE 13-39 #	
	90	210	Standard	TX2 20-127 no	
7 7	8	195	Low profile	ZSIL 20-93 no	

No emboli/clot



Conclusions

- In situ fenestration total arch-emergent/ semi urgent situation
- Laser fenestration might be an option for LSA revascularization.
- Angel between subclavian artery/aorta important
- Percutaneous brachial approach feasible
- No embolization of clot or graft material during laser fenestration.



