

#### University Heart Center Hamburg



#### Tipps and Tricks for Arch Branched Endografts

#### <u>Tilo Kölbel</u>

Nikolaos Tsilimparis, Krassi Ivancev

German Aortic Center, Hamburg University Heart Center University Hospital Eppendorf 20<sup>™</sup> INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS SYMPOSIUM **CRITICAL ISSUES** in aortic endografting 2016 May 20 & 21 - LILLE - FRANCE



#### University Heart Center Hamburg



#### Top 10 Tipps and Tricks for Arch Branched Endografts

#### <u>Tilo Kölbel</u>

Fiona Rohlffs, Sebastian Debus, Nikolaos Tsilimparis

German Aortic Center, Hamburg University Heart Center University Hospital Eppendorf





Disclosures



\* Research-grants, travelling, proctoring speaking-fees, IP with Cook.



# Branched Arch Repair









Outer Branches

Chuter

Inner Branches



# Branched Arch Endograft











#### Branched Arch Endograft



Haulon et al

Evolving Technology/Basic Science

#### **Global experience with an inner branched arch endograft**

Stéphan Haulon, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Roy K. Greenberg, MD,<sup>b</sup> Rafaëlle Spear, MD,<sup>a</sup> Matt Eagleton, MD,<sup>b</sup> Cherrie Abraham, MD,<sup>c</sup> Christos Lioupis, MD,<sup>c</sup> Eric Verhoeven, MD, PhD,<sup>d</sup> Krassi Ivancev, MD,<sup>e</sup> Tilo Kölbel, MD, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Brendan Stanley, MD,<sup>g</sup> Timothy Resch, MD,<sup>h</sup> Pascal Desgranges, MD, PhD,<sup>i</sup> Blandine Maurel, MD,<sup>a</sup> Blayne Roeder, PhD,<sup>j</sup> Timothy Chuter, MD,<sup>k</sup> and Tara Mastracci, MD<sup>b</sup>

- \* 2009-2013
- \* Multicenter Study
- \* n = 38
- \* Technichal success 32/38
- Mortality 5/38 (13%)
- Stroke/TIA 6/38 (16%)

Haulon et al. 2014; J Thor Cardiovasc Surg 148:1709--16





#### Cook Branched Arch Endograft



Subsequent experience: \* n = 27; Hamburg, Tokio, Lille \* 4/2013- 11/2014 \* Technical success 27/27 \* 30d Mortality 0/27 \* 1y mortality 1/27 3/27 \* Stroke/TIA



Spear et al 2016; Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 51: 380-5









#### **Case Selection**





\* Diameter ≤ 38mm \* Length  $\geq$  40mm Proximal landing zone ≥ 20mm Innominate diameter ≤ 20mm Appropriate access vessels No significant aortic regurge No mechanical aortic valve No dissection of branch-vessels



### Case Selection



- \* Aneurysm and PAU of the arch
- \* Chronic aortic dissection
- \* Acute Type A dissection













# 2 Cooperate with CV and CT









#### Debranching in Residual Dissection



# **Residual Dissection**













# **Residual Dissection**





Bilateral carotid-subclavian bypass



Axillo-axillary bypass



# **Residual Dissection**





#### Interposition Graft LCCA

#### Landing in dissected LCCA







#### Stage the Procedures



# Stage Debranching



- \* Shorter anaesthesia and procedure time
- \* Bleeding complications
- \* Heparinization ACT 250-350 sec. recommended



### Stage Debranching









# Stage FL-Embolisation











#### Preoperative Planning



# Preoperative Planning









# **Preoperative Planning**





Projection LVA Length LSA to LVA Diameter LSA Length IA Projection IA-bifurcatio Diameter LCCA Diameter IA Projection IA and LCCA Length IA Projection RCA & LCA

Projection CA







#### Consider Fenestrated Arch Graft



### Fenestrated Arch Grafts















# Fenestrated Arch Grafts







# Fenestrated Arch Grafts



\* Treats different pathology
\* Large ascending aorta
\* Faster procedure times











#### CO<sup>2</sup> Flushing



# CO<sup>2</sup> - Flushing



Carbon Dioxide Flushing Technique to Prevent Cerebral Arterial Air Embolism and Stroke During TEVAR Journal of Endovascular Therapy 1–3 © The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1526602816633705 www.jevt.org **SAGE** 

- \* CO<sup>2</sup> Container
- \* Connect to sideport
- \* Flush 2min 1.2 bar
- \* Flush 60-200ml saline



Kölbel et al 2016; J Endovasc Surg 23: 393-5



# CO<sup>2</sup> - Flushing



Carbon Dioxide Flushing Technique to Prevent Cerebral Arterial Air Embolism and Stroke During TEVAR Journal of Endovascular Therapy 1–3 © The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1526602816633705 www.jevt.org **SAGE** 

#### \* 2014-2015: n=36

- \* All complex arch and ascending TEVAR:
  - \* Branched arch
  - \* Fenestrated arch
  - \* Ascending TEVAR
- \* All zone 0 -1
- Stroke: 1/36 (3%) minor non-disabling stroke



Kölbel et al 2016; J Endovasc Surg 23: 393-5

#### TEVAR FOR TAAs & TYPE B DISSECTIONS Benchmark results

	n	Indication	Mean age	Zone 0 to 2	30-day Mortalit y	Stroke /TIA
C-TAG Aortic Catastrophes (2009)	59	Complicate d TBD/ TT	62	_	12%	15%
SVS Meta-analysis (2011)	99	Acute TBD	59	35%	11%	10%
STABLE Trial (2012)	40	Acute TBD	58	63%	5%	10%
MOTHER Registry (2013)	114	Acute TBD	61	61%	11%	6%
	195	Chronic TBD	63	44%	13%	7%
	670	TAAs	71	37%	5%	5%

Courtesy of Gustavo Oderich







#### Be prepared to change plan



# Acute Type A Dissection















# LIMA Bypass





#### Start with the second branch to the LCCA!





### Be aware of CAB











#### Disregard Malrotation



### Disregard Markers for Rotation











#### Deploy further proximal



# Deploy further proximal













# My Top 3: \*Careful Case selection \*Multidisciplinary approach \*Stage the procedures