

CRITICAL ISSUES ^{20TH INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS SYMPOSIUM} in aortic endografting 2016 May 20 & 21, 2016 - BARRIÈRE HOTEL - LILLE - FRANCE







CG-EVAR tips & tricks

Lille may 2016

E.Ducasse MD PhD FEVBS CHU bordeaux

www.critical-issues-congress.com



Disclosure of Interest

- Speaker name: E Ducasse
- I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:
- Consulting
- Employment in industry
- Shareholder in a healthcare company
- Owner of a healthcare company
- Other(s)

I do not have any potential conflict of interest

PRESENTAT



IS !



Patient selection is key

Patient at High-risk for OSR :

- ASA ≥ 2
- Hostile abdomen
- COPD
- BMI > 25
- Cardiac insufficiency

F-EVAR is the first choice

- Safe
- Effective
- Good long-term results

BUT some requirements need to be met...

- Favorable anatomy limited to EC/FDA approvals and manufacturer's IFUs :
 - Neck angulation <45^o
 - Iliofemoral accesses compatible with 14-22F
 - Nb of fenestrations : 3 max (no more than 2 types)
 - Fenestration locations:
 - distance between fenestrations >5mm/2hrs of clock position
 - distance from graft's proximal edge ≥10-15mm
- Manufacturing delays : 6-12 weeks

Indications for CG-EVAR :

- Life threatening aneurysms :
 - Ruptured with hemodynamically stable patient
 - Symptomatic
 - Rapidly expanding
 - Diameter ≥ 70 mm*

Anatomical contraindications to F-EVAR including:

- History of prior aortic surgery with anastomotic pseudo-aneurysm
- Type Ia EL after standard EVAR
- Angulated neck
- Hostile iliac access (diameter ≤ 7mm)
- Tortuous anatomies
- Downward angulation of target vessels ≤ -30°



*estimated annual risk of rupture > 30%, Moll et al. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011



CG-EVAR advantages

- Device adaptability
- Off-the-shelf availability for emergencies
- Possible use of low profile devices in hostile iliac access
- Low cost
- Reduced contrast volume
- Short procedure time

CG-EVAR limits

- **Off-label :** inform patient and family, legal risk
- Preferably ≤ 2 chimneys to limit the risks of type Ia EL*
- Absence of severe aortic arch angulation
- Long-term results awaited: patency of target vessels? Type I EL through the gutters?**

*Bruen et al. JVS 2011 **Katsargyris et al. J Endovasc Ther 2013

Quality imaging



- Pre-operative CTA mandatory
- Sizing is essential :
 - CG : 1mm oversizing

Minimal neck requirements



• Aorta :

Mean Aortic Diameter + ½ (CG diameters) = 25% oversizing

■ Landing zone ≥ 20mm



26 + ½ (6+7) = 32

Endograft Selection in our center

- Aortic grafts with suprarenal fixation
 - Zenith[®] (Cook)
 - Endurant[®] (Medtronic) for tortuous anatomies
- CGs = flexibility & length matter
 - Covered SESs :
 - Fluency[®] (Bard)
 - Viabhan[®] (Gore)
 - Bare SESs for "open" chimneys
 - Reinforced by bare SESs of same diameter and length
 - Neck diameter ≥ 16mm
 - Not too much radial force with aortic graft
 - \rightarrow OR RISK OF STENT COMPRESSION











Installation



- Systemic heparinization: 0.5mg/kg
- Multiple team
- Humeral access :
 - surgical approach
 - left+++
 - right if > 2 target vessels
- Femoral access :
 - 1 surgical/1 percutaneous
 - Or 2 percutaneous



INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS SYMPOSIUM

Sequence of deployment



- Long sheaths positioned in the proximal abdominal aorta
- Cannulation of target vessels from brachial access with hydrophilic guidewire and guiding catheters
 - If necessary check position of CT/SMA in lateral view
- Guidewire changed for Rosen[®] (Cook) to provide support
- CGs are positioned but not delivered
- Aortic endograft is :
 - Positioned
 - Adjusted for parallax
 - And partially deployed (closed proximal stent)
- CGs are :
 - Deployed
 - Reinforced by a second SES
- Aortic graft is :
 - Entirely deployed
 - Dilated with latex balloon catheter
- Distal implantation similar to standard EVAR



Minimize gutter leaks



- Landing zone ≥ 20mm
- Spiralling chimney ≥ 10mm over proximal end of aortic graft
- No kissing balloon technique since we only use SESs :





Peroperative control angiography:

• If early type Ia EL \rightarrow new balloon inflation





Results of CG-EVAR vs F-EVAR in our center **CRITIC**

20[™] INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS SYMPOSIUM

20 21-1

122 high risk-patients from January 2010-2015 :

- CG-EVAR : 42 target vessels
- F-EVAR : 271 target vessels

Variables	CG-EVAR N = 32	F-EVAR N = 90	р
Men	27 (84.4)	88 (97.8)	0.005
Age (years)	75.3 ± 6.5	71 <u>.</u> 33 ± 8.20	0.015
CHD	12 (37.5)	33 (36.7)	0.94
Hypertension	25 (78.1)	72 (80.0)	0.82
Dyslipidemia	22 (68.8)	55 (61.1)	0.45
Diabetes	3 (9.4)	11 (12.2)	0.67
BMI ≥ 30	4 (12.5)	30 (33.3)	0.025
CVD	2 (6.3)	9 (10.0)	0.53
PAD	2 (6.3)	8 (8.9)	0.65
Chronic kidney disease	8 (25.0)	11 (12.2)	0.089
Prior aortic surgery Current smoking	5 (15.6) 9 (28.1)	3 (3.3) 22 (24.4)	0.45 0.017 0.68
COPD ASA 1	11 (34.4) - 0 (0.0)	24 (26.7) - 5 (5 5)	0.41 0.28
2	14 (43.7)	38 (42.2)	-
3	14 (43.7)	45 (50.0)	
1	4 (12.5)	2 (2 2)	
Emergency	4 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	0.001

Survival rate (k-m)









Freedom from reintervention (k-m)





Stented target vessels' patency (k-m)









Aneurysm sac evolution



- **1 mo vs. 48 mo :** p = 0.002
- **\)** in : 83.3%

p < 0.0001 76.9% (p = 0.6)

Take home message



- •
- Patient selection is key :
 - Life threatening aneurysms
 - Anatomical contraindications for F-EVAR
- Sizing is essential :
 - CG : 1mm oversizing
 - Mean Aortic Diameter + ½ (CG diameters) = 25% oversizing
 - Landing zone ≥ 20mm
- Installation is important:
 - Multi-team work
 - Left brachial access
- Good results in a high volume center:
 - Higher perioperative mortality/Lower 12-month survival
 - BUT elderly patients/symptomatic aneurysms → NS difference at 24 months
 - NS difference in target vessel's patency
 - More type I ELs without associated aneurysm sac increase
- CG-EVAR / F-EVAR = complementary strategies
- Both should remain in the armamentarium of physicians treating complex aortic aneurysms



Take home message

- Hence with expertise,
 - Enjoy the CHRIMPS,
 - Enjoy the Chimney,
 - And
 - ENJOY THE PRAWNS !!!!





Thank you