



9<sup>th</sup> Congress Edition Novotel PARIS Tour Eiffel

# AF ablation guided by spatio temporal dispersion of EGM

#### Dr Clément BARS Hôpital saint Joseph-Marseille-France 02-03-2107

www.rhythmcongress.com



#### Disclosure

Speaker name: Dr Clément BARS

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report: Consulting: Abbott, Biosense Webster Shareholder of a healthcare company: Volta Medical



Visual appraisal of the sequence and morphology of intracardiac electrograms is sufficient to guide ablation of most arrhythmias, Atrial fibrillation is an exception to this paradigm so far.

#### **EGM-based** ablation

121 pts (47 parox, 64 Persist.) CFAE ablation only (no PVI)

#### **Endpoints:**

- CFAE eliminination
- AF termination
- non inducibility (Parox.)

AF termination:100% Parox, 91% Persist. Redo: 50%

Outcome: 91% success (1 year), 81% (28 months)



Nademanee et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004

### **PVI for persitent & LS-persistent AF:**

#### ~ 50% Freedom from AF/AT after multiple procedures with or without AA drugs

Averaged results (589 patients , no statistical difference between techniques):



Verma et al. NEJM 2015

## **Multipolar mapping of AF**

Several authors have specifically pointed out that fractionation occurring in a non-simultaneous fashion at neighboring electrode locations (time dispersion) and organized in well-defined clusters (spatial dispersion) may indicate the presence of an underlying source of AF.







Jaïs P, Haïssaguerre et al. PACE 1996;19:1998–2003. Rostock et al. Heart Rhythm Soc. 2006;3:27–34 *Takahashi , O'Neill et al.* JACC. Vol. 51, No. 10, 2008 Haïssaguerre, Hocini et al. Circulation 2006;113:616–625. Narayan et al. Heart Rhythm Soc. 2011;8:244–253. *Ganesan, Ghoraani et al.* Heart Rhythm december 2013 *Jadidi, Arentz et al.* Circ. Arrhythm.Electrophysiol. 2016;9:e002962. 2016  How extensive are these regions of STD in patients in Afib?

What would happen should we ablate the STD areas



#### Wholly Patient-tailored Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation Guided by Spatio-Temporal Dispersion of Electrograms in the Absence of Pulmonary Veins Isolation

Julien Seitz\*, MD; Clément Bars\* , MD; Guillaume Théodorez, MD; Sylvain Beurtheret\*, MD; Nicolas Lellouchex, MD, PHD; Michel Bremondy\*, MD; Ange Ferracci\*, MD; Jacques Faure\*; Guillaume Penaranda<sup>j j</sup>; Masatoshi Yamazaki<sup>{</sup>; Uma Mahesh R. Avula<sup>{</sup>, MD; Laurence Curel\*, MS; Sabrina Siame\* Omer Berenfeld<sup>{</sup>, PHD; André Pisapia\*, MD; Jérôme Kalifa<sup>{</sup>, MD, PHD.









#### **Source of Funding:**

# The study was not funded by industry and received no financial support.

Dr Masatoshi Yamazaki was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C): 15K09077 and Joint International Research: 15KK0341.

## Spatio-temporal dispersion



Time

"Dispersion areas were defined as <u>clusters of electrograms, either fractionated or non-</u> <u>fractionated</u>, that displayed inter-electrode time and space dispersion at a minimum of three adjacent bipoles such that <u>activation spread over all the AF cycle length</u>"

#### Example of EGMs from dispersion regions

#### Single electrode analysis



\*: Nademanee et al. JACC 2004

You may find non fractionated EGMs within dispersion regions and to the oposite fractionated EGMs within non dispersion regions



#### f: fractionated EGM









# Substrate HD Clinical study







## **Objectives**

- Determine whether spatio temporal dispersion morphologies may enable the identification of AF drivers regions.
- Demonstrate that spatio temporal dispersion regions are effective target sites for AF ablation.

## **Clinical Study**

- **Prospective enrollment of 105 patients** in 3 centers for AF ablation (7 ablationists)
- **AF sequential mapping in <u>both atria</u>** with the 20-pole catheter PentaRay in all regions.
- Visual selection of Electrode locations that display Spatio
   <u>temporal dispersion</u>
   A: LAA (Reference cycle lenght = 131 ms)

Highest density of points needed to delineate driver regions frontiers accurately



200 ms

#### Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Method Guided by Spatio-Temporal Dispersion of Electrograms



- Ablation at dispersion regions (10-45W).
- If two ablated areas were very close (<1cm) they were connected by RF applications.
- No probabilistic ablation (no PVI or lines)
- Ablation endpoints : AF termination, SR conversion acutely, and freedom from AF/AT (after 18 mo-follow-up with or without AA drugs).
- Same approach for redo

	Study population (n=105)		Validation set (n=47)	р
Age (years), mean ± SD	63 ±11		58±11	0.0046
Male, n (%)	80 (76.2%)		35 (74%)	0.8191
AF type				
Paroxysmal AF, n (%)	24 (22.8%)		9 (19,2%)	0,6
Non-paroxysmal AF, n (%)	81 (77,2%)	LS-Pers. = 30	38(80,8%)	0,6
Maximum sustained AF duration (months), mean + SD	12.2 ± 20	Pers+LS pers=14 ±21 LS pers=33 ±27	19.4±31.6	0.2457
Structural heart disease, n(%)	38 (36%)		14 (35%)	0.4665
Hypertension, %	48(45,7%)		20 (42,5%)	0.5217
Diabetes, %	13(12.4%)		5(10,6%)	0,5995
LA diameter (mm),mean ± SD	45,6± 7,6		42,4±12,4	0,09
LVEF (%), median mean ± SD	52 ± 11		54 ± 12	0,2082
Amiodarone before ablation, %	32%		NA	
Spontaneous AF at the beginning of procedure (persistent and longstanding persistent AF only), n	65 (80,2	2% of the non PAF)	NA	
Prior AF ablation 0	0			
LAA CL (ms) 182[164-203] non PAF: 174[157-20	NA 00]			



#### AF terminations (T) from the Substrate HD study



# Better acute efficacy with shorter and less extensive ablation



Seitz, Bars, Kalifa et al. JACC

### Each patient is unique



Ablation Areas





Seitz, Bars, Theodore, Pisapia, Kalifa et al. JACC

#### TABLE 2 Surface Area

	All Patients (N ¼ 43)	Paroxysmal (n ¼ 15)	Persistent (n ¼ 17)	LS Persistent (n ¼ 11)	p Value
Dispersion areas					
Total dispersion area surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$\textbf{22.5} \pm \textbf{13.5}$	18 $\pm$ 10	$17\pm9$	$41\pm12$	< 0.0001
Median (IQR)	19 (12.5–33)	17 (13–22)	15 (11–19)	40 (32–50)	
Mean dispersion area surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$5\pm2$	$5\pm2$	$4~\pm~1.5$	$6\pm2$	0.0025
Median (IQR)	4.5 (3–6)	4.5 (3.4–6.0)	3.2 (2.9–5.6)	6.0 (4.9-8.2)	
Number of dispersion areas					
Mean $\pm$ SD	5 ± 1.5	$4\pm1.7$	$5\pm1.2$	$6 \pm 1$	0.02
Median (IQR)	5 (4–6)	4 (3–5)	5 (4–5)	6 (5–7)	
Ablation in the LA					
LA ablated surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$25.5\pm15.7$	$20.5\pm10.5$	$16.5\pm6$	$46~\pm~13.5$	< 0.0001
Median (IQR)	20.6 (15–35.5)	19 (14–27)	17 (11–21)	40 (36–56)	
LA total surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$157\pm47$	$139~\pm~44$	$167\pm53$	165.5 $\pm$ 35	0.18
Median (IQR)	156 (135–171)	153 (114–164)	156 (135–172)	165 (152–175)	
Percent of LA ablated surface					
Mean $\pm$ SD	17 ± 10	$\textbf{15.8}\pm\textbf{8.8}$	$10.1\pm4.0$	$29\pm9.7$	< 0.0001
Ablation in both atria					
Biatrial total surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$302\pm85$	$266\pm97.5$	$296~\pm~53$	$\textbf{361} \pm \textbf{82.5}$	0.06
Median (IQR)	312 (257–350)	288 (207–331)	293 (273–322)	340 (320–398)	
Bi-atrial total ablated surface, cm <sup>2</sup>					
Mean $\pm$ SD	$31\pm19$	$25\pm12$	$21\pm7.0$	$55 \pm 17.5$	< 0.0001
Median (IQR)	24.5 (18–39.5)	21 (17–39)	20 (16–23)	50 (42–74)	
Percent of biatrial ablated surface, cm <sup>2</sup>	$\frown$				
Mean $\pm$ SD	10 ± 5	$10 \pm 4$	$\textbf{7.5} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	15 $\pm$ 4	0.0005

Δ		One or	perator		
<b>^</b>	• •	One of			
				Righ	t atrium
Let	t atrium	Left atr	ium	PA	view
A	Pview	PA Vie	W		
			121 122	17	
N=13 patients	Mapping 1	Mapping2	Match mapping 1 & 2 🔳	Mismatch mapping 1	Mismatch mapping 2
Involved areas	5,6±0,9	5,3±1	5,2±0,9	0,4±0,6	0,07±0,3
Mapping surface (cm2)	30±12	28±12	26±13	4±3	2±2
		42.0	1210	0.4	4.4
% biatrial surface	14±8	13±9	12±9	2±1	1±1
% biatrial surface B Left atrium AP view		Two opera Left atrium PA view	tors	Right atrium LL view	
% biatrial surface B Left atriun AP view	14±8	Two operator 2	tors	Right atrium LL view	I±1
% biatrial surface B Left atriun AP view W W W W W N=7 patients Involved areas	14±8	Two operator 2 6,3±0,7	Tary	Right atrium LL view	I±1
% biatrial surface B Left atrium AP view W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	14±8	Two operator 2 6,3±0,7 29±8	Match operator 1 & 2 6±0,8 23±7	Right atrium LL view Mismatch operator 1 0 12±3	I±1 Mismatch operator 2 0,3±0,5 7±4

#### 18 month-follow up

Completed in 91% of the patients: follow-up visits and 24-hour Holter, 7days holter-monitor/ PM-ICD memories in 20 pts

#### 18 month-FU: 55% free from AF/AT after 1 procedure

with or without AA drugs



Seitz, Bars, Kalifa et al. JACC

#### 18 month-FU: 85% free from AF/AT after 1,4 procedure/patient



with or without AA drugs

### Atrial fibrillation & Atrial tachycardias....

#### ATs are much easier to ablate than AF



#### Substrate HD vs STAR AF2\*



\* average results of the comparable 3 groups (PVI, PVI+cfe, PVI+lines)

## Conclusion

- The clustering of intra-cardiac electrograms exhibiting spatio-temporal dispersion may guide a <u>wholly patient-tailored ablation</u> for AF <u>especially</u> for persistent AF.
- AF termination =95% within ~ 20 min of RF.
- Using this approach, Redo procedure are mostly performed for Atrial tachycardias recurrences that are much easier to ablate than AF what led to promising long term results. Freedom from AF/AT at 18 mo-FU =85% (1,4 procedure /pt)

## Perspective

- Very high density maps would improve dispersion regions frontiers
- MRI scar distribution, voltage and dispersion regions
- The use of drugs during procedure may help in driver vizualization (Ibutilide/Flecainide ?)
- Better Lesion creation & assesment (Ablation index? unipolar?)
- These preliminary results must be confirmed by a randomized trial

#### THANK YOU!



	LAA CL	Driver CL	Non driver CL	Continuous CFE in driver regions	s Global voltage <0,5 mV in driver regions	Majority of AF CL In driver regions
Takayashi et al. JACC 2008	167 ms	166 ms*	182 ms	yes	yes	yes
Haissaguerre et al. Circ 2014	NA	185 ms	189 ms	yes	No (0,8 mV)	yes
<b>Jadidi et al.</b> Circ ep 2016	168 ms	NA	NA	yes	yes	yes
Seitz et al. JACC 2017						yes
(PAF excluded)	174 ms	165 ms*	190 ms	yes *: CL sig	Yes nificantly shorter than	in non driver regions

### AA drugs before ablation

	AA drugs before ablation	Pts presenting in SR	Prior AF ablation
Rostock et al. Circ ep 2008	41%	0%	0%
O'Neill et al, EHJ 2009	25%	0%	0%
Narayan et al. JACC 2012	0%	30%	42%
Haissaguerre et al. Circ 2014	43%	25%	20,3% (PVI)
Verma et al. (STAR AF2, PVI grp) NEJM 2015	0%	NA	0%
Jadidi et al. Circ ep 2016	NA	31%	22% (PVI)
Seitz et al. (present study)	32%	38% (non PAF=20%)	0%

#### Freedom from AF/AT 1 procedure



	AA drugs before ablation	Pts presenting in SR	Prior AF ablation	Sustained AF duration (months)	Long- standing persistent	Paroxysmal	LA diameter	structural Heart disease	AF terminati on	LAA CL
				median: 12		, <b>,</b>				
Rostock et al. Circ ep 2008	41%	0%	0%	(range:3-264)	NR	0%	50 ±7 mm	64%	77%	155 ms
				21.8+33.2, median: 12						
O'Neill et al, EHJ 2009	25%	0%	0%	(range:1-240)	54%	0%	47 ± 9 mm	48%	85%	151+21 ms
Narayan et al. JACC 2012	0%	30%	42%	NR	NR	19%	43 ± 6 mm	NR (>28%)	56%	NR
Union and all Circ 2014	420/	25%	20,3%	ND	200/	00/	40 1 7	C10/	0.0%	NR (local driver CL
Haissaguerre et al. Circ 2014	43%	25%	(PVI)	NK	20%	0%	48 ± 7 mm	61%	80%	~185 ms)
Verma et al. (STAR AF2, PVI grp) NEJM				NR (78% > 6						
2015	0%	NR	0%	months)	NR	0%	44 ± 6 mm	NR (>7,5%)	8%	NR
Jadidi et al. Circ ep 2016	NR	31%	22% (PVI)	NR	0%	0%	44 ± 5 mm	14%	73%	168±27 ms
Seitz et al. (present study)	32%	38% (non PAF=20%)	0%	12.2 ± 20	29%	22,80%	45,6 ± 7,6 mm	36%	95%	182[164-203] ms, non pAF=174[157- 200]

# Mechanism of AT and its relationship with the original AF





### Analysis in 21 patients: 44 ATs, 22 macroreentries & 22 localized AT (88,6% in non- ablated areas).

## Importantly 17/22 (77,3%) localized ATs arose from dispersion regions that were not previously ablated!

The 2 ATs were located in dipersion areas non already ablated

Since the majority of ATs arise from the dispersion regions that were not previously ablated, it implies that such ATs may be part of AF substrate and were unmasked after the areas of fibrillatory conductions had been ablated...

#### Global lower voltage in dipersion regions



### Dispersion and Low voltage maps

- <u>Biatrial Voltage maps (<0,5 mv) compared to</u> <u>dispersion maps in 43 patients</u>:
- low voltage regions = 92,6 +/- 83,4 cm2
- Dispersion regions = 22,5 +/- 13,5 cm2
- 21 % of the dispersion regions exhibited low voltage
- 3,8% of the low voltage area exhibited dispersion

# ATs that occurred during the follow-up or any re-do procedure:

- We analyzed the long-term AT recurrences after the index AF ablation procedure.
- We focused our analysis on determining whether the AT that occurred arose from the dispersion regions that were targeted during the index procedure (dispersion-index regions) or from non-dispersion regions (non-dispersion-index regions), which were not ablated.
- During the 1 year follow-up period, 11 AT ablation procedures were conducted. In total, 18 distinct recurrent ATs were analyzed.

11/18 ATs (61%) originated from non-dispersion-index regions as follows: six macro-reentries previously ablated at non-dispersion regions such as the mitral isthmus or the roof relapsed presumably because of conduction recovery of ablation lines; four macro-reentries which were not present during the index case. Finally, one focal tachycardia arose from a nondispersion-index region.

3/18 (16.6%) ATs originated from within a dispersion-index region. 4/18 (22%) were found in close vicinity of a dispersion-index-region (<1 cm).

Study	PVI ablation time	Time to AF termination or AF cycle length prolongation (Narayan et al.)	AT ablation time	Total Ablation time
Narayan et al. JACC 2012 (FIRM group)	~39.3 min	18.5 (7.9–24.5) min.	Not reported.	57.8 ± 22.8 min. (32% patient- tailored)
Jadidi et al. 2016	28±11 min.	11±9 min.	12±9 min	44±19 min. (52% patient-tailored)
Seitz et al. 2016 (present work)	No PVI	20 [10-37] min.	~30 min	49±21min. (~100% patient- tailored)

Patient-tailored	
Non-Patient-tailored	

#### **Persistent & LS-persistent:** 85% free from AF/AT (1,4 procedures) with or without AA drugs (18 month-FU)



### Freedom AF/AT 18 month-FU (1 procedure)









#### **Stable sinus rhythm (7-day Holter)**3 years FU



#### Procedure time line



#### **Dispersion maps & CFAE maps**



#### Freedom from AF 1 procedure



#### 18 month-FU: 85% of stable sinus Rhythm whatever the type of AF



#### Freedom AF/AT 1 procedure



#### EGM recorded by Pentaray have a better quality signal than with 3.5mm ablation catheter



Primary target are often low voltage EGMs. The size of electrodes and the space between electrodes provides a high quality recorded signal which is essential in detecting AF substrate.

	AA drugs before ablation	Pts presenting in SR	Prior AF ablation	Sustained AF duration (months)	Long- standing persistent	Paroxysmal	LA diamet
ck et al. Circ en 2008	41%	0%	0%	median: 12 (range:3-264)	NΔ	0%	50 +7 n
eillet al FHI 2009	25%	0%	0%	21.8+33.2, median: 12 (range:1-240)	54%	0%	<i>1</i> 7 + 9 r
yan et al. JACC 2012	0%	30%	42%	NA	NA	19%	43 ± 6 r
guerre et al. Circ 2014	43%	25%	20,3% (PVI)	NA	20%	0%	48 ± 7 r
Verma et al. AF2, PVI grp) NEJM 2015	0%	NA	0%	NR (78% > 6 months)	NA	0%	44 ± 6 r
li et al. Circ ep 2016	NA	31%	22% (PVI)	NA	0%	0%	44 ± 5 r
et al. (present study)	32%	38% (non PAF=20%)	0%	12.2 ± 20	29%	22,80%	45,6 ± 1 mm

#### **Dispersion regions characteristics**



Δ		One or	perator		
<b>^</b>	• •	One of			
				Righ	t atrium
Let	t atrium	Left atr	ium	PA	view
A	Pview	PA Vie	W		
			121 122	17	
N=13 patients	Mapping 1	Mapping2	Match mapping 1 & 2 🔳	Mismatch mapping 1	Mismatch mapping 2
Involved areas	5,6±0,9	5,3±1	5,2±0,9	0,4±0,6	0,07±0,3
Mapping surface (cm2)	30±12	28±12	26±13	4±3	2±2
		42.0	1210	0.4	4.4
% biatrial surface	14±8	13±9	12±9	2±1	1±1
% biatrial surface B Left atrium AP view		Two opera Left atrium PA view	tors	Right atrium LL view	
% biatrial surface B Left atriun AP view	14±8	Two operator 2	tors	Right atrium LL view	I±1
% biatrial surface B Left atriun AP view W W W W W N=7 patients Involved areas	14±8	Two operator 2 6,3±0,7	Tary	Right atrium LL view	I±1
% biatrial surface B Left atrium AP view W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	14±8	Two operator 2 6,3±0,7 29±8	Match operator 1 & 2 6±0,8 23±7	Right atrium LL view Mismatch operator 1 0 12±3	I±1 Mismatch operator 2 0,3±0,5 7±4









#### Substrate HD Mechanistic study







# Electrograms characteristics in dispersion regions



Dispersion area abnormal electrograms exhibited a higher occurrence of <u>single-bipole</u> <u>fractionated continuous signals</u>, a <u>reduced voltage</u> & a significantly <u>shorter cycle length</u>. <u>Dispersion was stable (2,5 sec window)</u> and spanned ~<u>100% AFCL</u>.