

TIPS AND TRICKS: Percutaneous access

**G Pratesi, MD
S Haulon, MD**

*Vascular Surgery
Policlinico Tor Vergata
University of Rome "Tor Vergata"*

*Vascular Surgery
Aortic Center
CHRU Lille, France*

Disclosures

Giovanni Pratesi, M.D.

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

- ☒ *Consulting: Abbott, Cook, Cordis, Medtronic, WL Gore & Associates*
- ☐ *Employment in industry*
- ☐ *Stockholder of a healthcare company*
- ☐ *Owner of a healthcare company*
- ☐ *Other(s)*
- ☐ *I do not have any potential conflict of interest*

Disclosures


Stephan Haulon, M.D.

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

- ☒ *Consulting: Abbott, Cook, GE Healthcare*
- ☐ *Employment in industry*
- ☐ *Stockholder of a healthcare company*
- ☐ *Owner of a healthcare company*
- ☐ *Other(s)*
- ☐ *I do not have any potential conflict of interest*


EVAR and percutaneous access: an ideal combination

- Rapid, safe and effective
- Local anesthesia
- Lower risk of wound-related complications (eg, seroma, infection, nerve injury)
- Reduced discomfort for the patient
- Early ambulation, shorter hospitalization
- *Totally endovascular, minimally invasive procedure*



Suture mediated closure devices:

Prostar XL & Proglide



Perclose ProGlide

Suture-Mediated Closure System

■ Simple

- Pre-tied knot
- Numbered deployment sequence
- Single operator procedure with QuickCut mechanism
- 5-21F

■ Secure

- Secure close with a polypropylene monofilament suture
- Minimized inflammatory response
- The closure can be challenged and confirmed on the table
- No reaccess restrictions if previous arteriotomy repairs were achieved with Perclose ProGlide

■ Control


- Ability to maintain wire access




Monofilament Polypropylene Suture



Device Components







Perclose ProGlide

The security of suture


1. *Foot deployment*




2. *Needles deployment*



3. *Connection of suture with pre-tied knot*



4. *Suture brings the tissues together to promote primary healing*




Heat-Formed Knot





***Heat forming process
creates permanent shape
of knot in suture***

Learning curve in percutaneous access: a multifactorial strategy



1. Preoperative evaluation



2. Ultrasound guided puncture



What do I need for pEVAR?



Effect of Preinterventional Ultrasound Examination on Frequency of Procedure-Related Vascular Complications in Percutaneous Coronary Interventions With Transfemoral Approach

Emilia Stegemann, MD^{a,*}, Berthold Stegemann, PhD^a, Nikolaus Marx, MD^a, Thomas Lauer, MD^b, and Rainer Hoffmann, MD^a

Vascular complications are the most frequent adverse events associated with percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) leading to an increase in morbidity and mortality. Puncture of the common femoral artery in its middle segment is proved to decrease the risk of procedure-related vascular complications. Real-time ultrasound-guided puncture of the vessel is effective to decrease access site-related vascular complications but complex to perform. We evaluated whether an ultrasonic preinterventional examination of the femoral puncture site and skin marking of anatomic structures and specific vascular characteristics results in a decrease of access site-related vascular complications in PCIs with transfemoral access. Over a period of 12 months we prospectively examined all puncture sites before elective PCIs with transfemoral access ($n = 848$) using ultrasound. Presence, extent, and location of plaques and stenoses and exact location of bifurcation of the femoral artery were marked by a sonographer on the skin to guide the interventionists in vascular puncture. Postinterventional access site ultrasound was performed to determine possible access site-related complications. Frequency of vascular access site complications was compared to a control cohort ($n = 1,027$) that did not undergo ultrasound examination before intervention. With ultrasonic vascular access site management the rate of access site-related vascular complications was decreased from 4.2% to 1.9% (odds ratio 0.44, 0.23 to 0.80, $p = 0.005$). In conclusion, preinterventional ultrasonic access site examination and skin marking decreases the risk of vascular complications in elective PCI with femoral access. © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. (Am J Cardiol 2011;108:1203–1206)





GE HEALTHCARE

12L-SC

MI 0.5

AO H




01/15/2010 17:58 E20100115175001


Vascular

TIs 0.2


GE



4cm



Arterial puncture at 45°



GE HEALTHCARE

12L-SC

MI 0.5


AO H

01/15/2010 18 02 E20100115175001


Vascular

TIs 0.2


GE




Use preferably an angled .035'' starter guidewire



Control Progression under US / X-ray



Pre-closing: first insert 7fr introducer sheath




Skin incision (Stent-Graft OD)





3. Double Proglide technique




Approved for large bore sheath up to 21 Fr












































4. *Progressive closure*



5. Post-closure duplex and CT follow-up access sites examination







A Systematic Literature Review of the Efficacy and Safety of the Prostar XL Device for the Closure of Large Femoral Arterial Access Sites in Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Endovascular Aortic Procedures **CME**

Outcome	Effect measure	Absolute rate, n/N OR total patient number	Pooled result, effect size (95% CI) – random-effects model	Pooled result, effect size (95% CI) – fixed-effects model
Absolute rate of procedural success (access sites)	Average success rate with Prostar XL	624/692	91% (87%–95%)	92% (91–94%)
Absolute rate of procedural success (patients)	Average success rate with Prostar XL	426/481	89% (84%–94%)	92% (90–95%)
Total procedural time	Difference in procedural time between Prostar XL and surgical cut-down (weighted mean)	N = 193	62.4 (27.8–97.1) min	66.1 (57.7–74.4)
Complication rate	Risk ratio for complications (risk with Prostar XL 10 vs. Risk with surgical cut-down)	N = 189	0.87 (0.41–1.88)	0.94 (0.51–1.72)

The Prostar XL is an effective and safe device for use in percutaneous closure of large femoral artery sites, comparable to open surgical femoral artery cut-down

Haulon S et al., Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011

Outcomes of total percutaneous endovascular aortic repair for thoracic, fenestrated, and branched endografts

2009-2014: 102 pts; total percutaneous closure was performed using two Perclose devices in 170 femoral arteries with ≥ 20 F-diameter sheaths in 163 (96%)

- *Technical success: 95%*
- *3 thrombosis, 1 retroperitoneal hematoma, 1 pseudoaneurysm*
- *No access-related complications >30 days*

Table II. Aneurysm extent and sheath size in 102 patients treated by thoracic, fenestrated, and branched stent grafts using percutaneous closure

<i>Variable</i>	<i>No. (%)</i>
Type of repair	
Pararenal	48 (47)
Thoracoabdominal	27 (26)
Thoracic	19 (19)
Aortoiliac	8 (8)
Sheath size (by artery)	
<20F	7 (4)
≥ 20 F	163 (96)

The rate of access related complications (5%) is similar to that reported for PEVAR of infrarenal AAAs using smaller-profile devices.

De Souza LR et al., J Vasc Surg 2015

Italian Percutaneous EVAR (IPER) Registry: outcomes of 2381 percutaneous femoral access sites' closure for aortic stent-graft

G. PRATESI¹, M. BARBANTE¹, R. PULLI², A. FARGION², W. DORIGO²
R. BISCEGLIE¹, A. IPPOLITI¹, C. PRATESI² on behalf of IPER Registry Collaborators

192 TEVAR/f-bEVAR
Technical success: 96.9%

2189 EVAR
Technical success: 96.3%

	TEVAR/f-bEVAR (192/2381)	EVAR (2189/2381)	<i>p</i>
Fr device (mean $\pm$ SD)	21.3 $\pm$ 2.1	16.7 $\pm$ 3.4	.03
Profile > 20 Fr	54 (43.5%)	482 (21.3%)	.001
CFA diameter, mm (mean $\pm$ SD)	8.4 $\pm$ 1.7	8.2 $\pm$ 1.4	.15
CFA < 7 mm	9 (7.2%)	163 (7.2%)	.54
High CFA bifurcation	2 (1.6%)	64 (2.8%)	.32
CFA stenosis >50%	6 (4.8%)	66 (2.9%)	.16

*pEVAR tips & tricks:
one Proglide up to 14F femoral access*




pEVAR tips & tricks:

sheath downsizing during complex f/bEVAR




pEVAR: tips & tricks

pledgets with minor bleeding




pEVAR: tips & tricks
third Proglide if you are not satisfied



pEVAR: tips & tricks



endoclamping in case of failure



Expanding pEVAR applicability: obese patient





Expanding pEVAR applicability: calcified common femoral arteries



Expanding pEVAR applicability: calcified common femoral arteries



Expanding pEVAR applicability: calcified common femoral arteries



■ Thank you!