

EUTOVAIVE March 10-11, 2016 Brussels, BELGIUM

Who can benefit from MitraClip therapy?

P. Debonnaire, MD, FESC

AZ Sint-Jan, Brugge, BE



Mitral regurgitation





MitraClip indication ?



Adapted from Vahanian, Eur Heart J, 2014 (guidelines)

MitraClip indication



MitraClip: symptomatic benefit (survival benefit?)

MitraClip patient selection



MitraClip selection: echo criteria

	CRITERIA	OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
1	Pathology location	Segment 2 Central	Segment 1,3: Lateral, medial	Commissure Cleft, perforation
2	Pathology extent FMR: Coapt. depth Coapt. Length	< 11 mm > 3 mm	> 11 mm 1- 3 mm	No coaptation
	DMR: Flail width Flail gap	< 15 mm < 10 mm	width >15mm if annulus large and option >1 clip	Complex Barlow's disease
3	Calcification	None	Outside grasping area or ring annuloplasty	Severe extensive
4	Area	> 4 cm ²	Area > 3 cm ² if good leaflet mobility	< 3 cm² or MG > 5 mmHg
5	PMVL length	> 10 mm	7-10 mm	< 7 mm
6	Mobility Thickness	Normal	Severe (IIIB) Asymmetric	Rheumatic (IIIA) thickness > 5 mm

OPTIMAL REASONABLE		INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

FMR: central mainly

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

TTE 1 month post 2 clips

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

DMR: central mainly

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

DMR: Barlow, A1-P1 mainly

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

after 1 lateral clip

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

PM commissural perforation (post endocarditis)

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Segment 2: central	Segment 1,3: lateral, medial	Commissure, cleft, perforation

2. Pathology extent (FMR)

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
CD < 11 mm CL > 3 mm	CD > 11 mm CL 1- 3 mm	No coaptation

2. Pathology extent (FMR)

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
CD < 11 mm CL > 3 mm	CD > 11 mm CL 1- 3 mm	No coaptation

2. Pathology extent (DMR)

	OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Width < 15 mm Gap < 10 mm		width >15mm if annulus large and option for >1 clip	Complex Barlow's disease
	Flail gap < 10mm	12 mm	<image/>
	Flail width < 15mm	13 mm	

2. Pathology extent (DMR)

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Width < 15 mm Gap < 10 mm	width >15mm if annulus large and option for >1 clip	Complex Barlow's disease

2. Pathology extent (DMR)

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Width < 15 mm Gap < 10 mm	width >15mm if annulus large and option for >1 clip	Complex Barlow's disease

3. Calcification

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
None	Outside grasping area or ring annuloplasty	Severe extensive

4. Valve area

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
> 4 cm²	Area > 3 cm ² if good leaflet mobility	$< 3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ or MG} > 5 \text{ mmHg}$

Debonnaire, Delgado et al. EuroInterv, 2015

4. Valve area

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
> 4 cm²	Area > 3 cm ² if good leaflet mobility	< 3 cm ² or MG > 5 mmHg

5. PMVL mobile length

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
> 10 mm	7-10 mm	< 7 mm

5. PMVL mobile length

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
> 10 mm	7-10 mm	< 7 mm

6. Leaflet mobility & thickness

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Normal	Severe (IIIB), Asymmetric	Rheumatic (IIIA), thickness > 5 mm

6. Leaflet mobility & thickness

OPTIMAL	REASONABLE	INAPPROPRIATE
Normal	Severe (IIIB), Asymmetric	Rheumatic (IIIA), thickness > 5 mm

1. Symptomatic severe MR patients at high surgical risk.

2. Technical feasability relies on **6 step-wise** echocardiography, preferentially **3D-TOE**.

3. Good TOE quality and adherence to initial **EVEREST criteria** assures optimal results, in particular when experience is limited.

MitraClip patient selection

Optimal	Limited suitable	inappropriate
Pathology in segment 2	Pathology in segment 1 or 3	Leaflet perforation or cleft
No calcification	 Slight calcification outside the grasping area Ring calcification Anuloplasty with ring 	Severe calcification
Valve area >4cm ²	Valve area >3 cm ² & good leaflet mobility	Mitral stenosis (< 3cm ² , gradient >5mmHg)
Length of the posterior leaflet > 10mm	Length of the posterior leaflet 7-10mm	Length of the posterior leaflet < 7mm
Coaptation depth < 11mm	Coaptation depth >11mm	
Normal thickness and mobility of the leaflets	Restriction (Carpentier IIIB)	Rheumatic thickening and restriction (Carpentier IIIA)
MR with prolaps Flail size < 15mm Flail gap < 10mm	Flail size > 15mm only with large mitral aulus and option for more than 1 clip	Barlows desease

For optimal results, the following anatomic patient characteristics should be considered:

The primary regurgitant jet is non-commissural. If a secondary jet exists, it must be considered clinically insignificant	1
Mitral valve area ≥4.0cm ²	1
Minimal calcification in the grasping area	1
No leaflet cleft in the grasping area	1
Flail width <15 mm and flail gap <10 mm	1
LVEF >20% or LVESD <60mm	1